UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO ALBUQUERQUE DIVISION

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | CASE NO: 1:21-CR-01510-KWR |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| _1 |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | CRIMINAL |
| | , | |
| vs. |) | Albuquerque, New Mexico |
| |) | |
| CHRISTOPHER MARQUEZ, |) | Monday, November 15, 2021 |
| |) | · |
| Defendant. |) | (1:11 p.m. to 1:35 p.m.) |
| | | |
| | | |

DETENTION HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE LAURA FASHING, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES: SEE PAGE 2

U.S. Pretrial/Probation: Mindy Pirkovic

Court Reporter: Recorded; Liberty: HONDO-REMOTE

Clerk: N. Maestas

Transcribed By: Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc.

P.O. Box 8365

Corpus Christi, TX 78468

361 949-2988

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

2 **APPEARANCES:** For Plaintiff: ALEXANDER FLORES, ESQ. U.S. Attorney's Office P.O. Box 607 Albuquerque, NM 87103 Also present: Agent Vigil For Defendant: DEVON FOOKS, ESQ. Federal Public Defender's Office 111 Lomas Blvd. NW, Suite 501 Albuquerque, NM 87102

1 Albuquerque, New Mexico; Monday, November 15, 2021; 1:11 p.m. 2 (Video Teleconference Hearing) 3 (Call to Order) 4 THE COURT: Good afternoon, everybody. We are here 5 for the afternoon docket. We are -- we're here first for a continuation on a case that we started on Friday, so why don't 6 7 we go ahead and call that case and we'll go from there. 8 THE CLERK: United States v. Christopher Marquez. **THE COURT:** May I have appearances, please? 10 MR. FLORES: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Alexander 11 Flores for the United States. 12 MR. FOOKS: Devon Fooks on behalf of Mr. Marquez, 13 Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Marquez. We are here again on the continuation for your detention 15 16 hearing. The continuation was from Friday. But before we get 17 started, I want to make sure that you've had an opportunity 18 again at some point to talk to Mr. Fooks about the fact that 19 we'd be doing this by video. Did you have a chance to talk to 20 him about that? 21 THE DEFENDANT: I talked with him briefly this 22 morning but I haven't signed the paper yet saying that I'm 2.3 waiving the appearing in person, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah, I guess -25 MR. FOOKS: We did send that over, Your Honor.

```
4
 1
              THE COURT: Sent it to the facility?
 2
              MR. FOOKS:
                         That's my understanding, yes.
                         Okay. I guess -- well, we're just having
 3
              THE COURT:
    some trouble getting the waivers in from Santa Fe but let me
 4
 5
    just talk to you about this orally, Mr. Marquez.
              So you did have a chance to speak with Mr. Fooks
 6
 7
    about the fact that we'd be doing this by video, right?
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, last -- on Friday.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. Did you agree that it was okay to
10
    go forward by video?
11
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. Did anybody threaten you to get
13
    you to agree to that or force you to agree to that?
14
              THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: All right. I find that you have
16
    knowingly and voluntarily waived your right to be personally
17
    present in the courtroom for this hearing and that instead
18
    you've agreed to proceed by video.
19
              And Mr. Fooks, just if you ever get a waiver, if you
20
    could submit it.
21
              MR. FOOKS: (indisc.) to send it to you.
22
              THE COURT: All right. So I believe that we had a
23
    continuance, Mr. Fooks, because the Government proffered quite
24
    a bit of evidence at the hearing on Friday and you wanted to
25
    have an opportunity to look over some of the evidence that was
```

fleeing from law enforcement or was trying to avoid arrest seems somewhat fanciful. He doesn't -- he's not currently employed. He hasn't worked for about a year. Not because he hasn't wanted to, but because, like many people, he was laid off due to COVID. But he's been at the same house pretty much all day, every day. I guess I'm a little bit concerned about perhaps the efforts that law enforcement made to actually arrest him since he, like I said, has been there and hasn't moved. He's been there for quite some time, as far as I can tell from my conversations with him.

So his residence is stable. He is not a flight risk. He doesn't -- you can see from the Pretrial Services Report for him to be able to flee the jurisdiction would be very difficult if he was inclined to do so, which he is not. His family is from New Mexico. His mother still resides here. He's got plenty of community contacts. But even, let's just kind of go there for a minute, he doesn't really have the funding to go anywhere. He's living off government assistance. He's got children who live in the jurisdiction. So he really does not have any inclination to run or desire to run.

And, in fact, he never has in the past either. When we had the first evidence hearing earlier which was late last week there was some mention of some prior arrests. There are no failures to appear. Mr. Marquez has always appeared for -- he's always appeared for court. He has served probation on at

least one other case. He completed that probation successfully without any violations. So there's no reason to believe that Mr. Marquez is unwilling or unable to comply with orders put into place by this Court.

He was ordered to do some counseling when he was in -- when he was on probation before. In fact, when he successfully completed his probation he, on his own, continued to attend the counseling because he thought it was helpful to him.

He doesn't have an alcohol problem. He doesn't have a drug problem. So there's nothing of that nature to get in the way of him abiding by any orders that this Court should put in place or any condition or combination of conditions that this Court could put into place.

We are asking that you allow him to return home to his house. The alleged victims in this case, as I understand it from my review of the discovery, I believe one is from the Taos Pueblo, but they reside in Zuni, which is quite aways away from where Mr. Marquez resides in San Juan Pueblo. And, in fact, it's my understanding from reviewing discovery that those individuals are not even staying in Zuni, they're staying someplace else. That location is unknown to Mr. Marquez, as far as I know. So there's no concern that there would be any contact with any witnesses or alleged victims in this case.

Mr. Marquez is a danger to anyone, and more importantly to the strength of the case, respectfully I understand Mr. Flores's position but I respectfully disagree. This is a situation where — that we frequently run into and it's a matter of one person's word against another person's word. Obviously, Jane Doe Number 2, I believe it is, the mother of Jane Doe Number 1, has one version of events and Mr. Marquez has another.

I did have an opportunity to review the medical records related to the child who is involved in this case. But what I did not notice from reviewing discovery which goes to Counts Two and Three is that I didn't notice any medical records or photographs related to the alleged strangulation or photographs or medical records related to the alleged sexual contact. So again, it would seem as though we are — the only records we do have are an interview from — with the sexual assault nurse examiner. And again, there's no physical evidence to support the alleged victim's rendition or version of the events.

And so, while I understand the Government's case is very strong, as I see it, it appears to be a he said/she said and so I don't think the case is terribly strong. And on top of that, I think there are conditions which can be put into place. Certainly, no contact with the alleged victims is appropriate and it doesn't seem like there's a possibility for that to happen in any event. There's no concern, I don't think

- that the Court should have any concerns about whether or not

 Mr. Marquez is able to follow Your Honor's conditions that you

 place on him. He doesn't have a history of being able to -- of

 not being able to follow a Court's orders.
 - So regardless of what the Government believes the strength of the case is, there are a combination of conditions that can be put into place to assure that Mr. Marquez appears in court, as ordered to do so, and also to protect the community as well. So we would ask that Your Honor consider releasing him to his home with conditions or consider releasing him to the La Pasada Halfway House while the case is pending.
 - THE COURT: Mr. Flores, and I may have asked you this on Friday, and if I did I apologize, but I don't remember the answer. And, Mr. Fooks, if you know the answer to any of these questions also. You know, we have several -- in the Pretrial Services Report there is records where the disposition is unknown with respect to all of the charges. One is an aggravated battery against a household member attempt, but third offense, and that's from 2013, disposition unknown. Do we know who the victim was in that case?
 - MR. FLORES: We do have those records, Your Honor, in the reports that both counsel have.
- **THE COURT:** Okay. Do you know -- I mean do you know 24 the disposition?
- 25 MR. FLORES: I do not, Your Honor. So it looks like

```
11
 1
    what the BIA agent received has disposition unknown as well,
 2
    just like Pretrial Services received.
              THE COURT: Do we have -- do we know who the victim
 3
 4
    was?
 5
                           Yes. We have several police reports
 6
    from those instances.
 7
              THE COURT: Why don't you just give me a brief -- I'm
    just interested in the aggravated battery allegation and also
 8
 9
    the battery against a household member allegation in 2019.
10
              MR. FOOKS: Mr. Flores, if I may, if you're referring
    to the discovery that you sent if you could just tell me the
11
12
    page number you're looking at so I can follow along?
13
              MR. FLORES: Sure. I need to find it and I'm going
14
    to let you know. Apologies.
15
              And, Your Honor, I don't know if Agent Vigil has that
16
    off the top of his head as I'm looking for it. He may be able
17
    to questions along these lines if you'd like.
18
              THE COURT: Agent Vigil, do you know the information
19
    that I'm asking for?
20
              AGENT VIGIL: Can you hear me, Your Honor?
21
              THE COURT: Yes.
22
              AGENT VIGIL: Okay. There's a few different ones.
23
    I'll start with the most recent one. It was a -- there was a
24
    household member, Mr. Mark (phonetic) is the victim, and they
```

were involved in a verbal altercation and he pushed the victim,

```
12
 1
    the victim pushed him back, and they were stopped here.
    was the first one, ma'am.
 3
              THE COURT: And are we talking -- this is the one
    from 2019?
 4
 5
              AGENT VIGIL: I believe so, Your Honor, yes.
              THE COURT: Okay. And is the victim the same victim
 6
 7
    who is the alleged victim in this case?
 8
              AGENT VIGIL: No, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. And then with respect to the 2013
    incident?
10
11
              AGENT VIGIL: The one that I do have that I was able
12
    to retrieve the record for for battery was he was --
13
    Mr. Marquez was involved in an altercation with another male,
14
    at which time he was alleged to have hit the other male in the
15
    head with a bat causing bruising to the face and as a result
16
    the other male needed stitches.
17
              THE COURT: And was there is disposition in that case
18
    or no?
19
              AGENT VIGIL: Nothing was required in that, ma'am,
20
    Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: All right. And then I do have a notation
22
    here, October 14, 2021, which was not that long ago, a failure
23
    to appear on a misdemeanor charge. Does anybody know anything
```

Mr. Vigil or Agent Vigil?

24

25

about that?

MR. FLORES: That's right, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Okay. And I think we established last

3 time that this is not a presumption case, correct?

MR. FLORES: (Audio glitch), Your Honor.

I do, before you render your decision though, I want to address just a couple of points that Mr. Fooks raised.

THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead.

MR. FLORES: So first, Your Honor, police in this case, be it Tribal police or BIA, Tribal and BIA, attempted multiple times to locate Mr. Marquez at his home and in the community. They knocked at his gate at his home multiple times. And that was audible, not just because I think they tried to do so in an audible manner, but because it did I think arouse three dogs that are fenced in within that property who make some noise when strangers come by.

You'll recall, Your Honor, that Mr. Marquez engaged in some telephone calls from the facility where he's being held, which Agent Vigil has listened to, one of which -- in one of which he discusses the fact that on the day he was finally arrested he was approaching his home, noticed a vehicle nearby or on the way to his home, and that's (audio glitch) along the highway (indisc.) Wingate, that he believed was a police car, that he turned around to go back toward Espanola in order to avoid the interaction with the police and the officer happened to know what kind of vehicle Mr. Marquez drove and then engaged

him at that time. And so Mr. Marquez shared on the phone that he had been attempting to avoid that interaction because he knew there was an arrest warrant out for him and he was trying to avoid its execution and being brought into custody.

There is not failure to appear, Your Honor. It is deserving of only the weight you're probably going to give it since we can't give you any additional facts about it.

Nevertheless, it's in the system for law enforcement and for Pretrial Services to see. So there is a Court in this state that has deemed that he failed to appear on some matter, whether that's a ticket or a misdemeanor or a felony charge we can't say.

Is -- the final thing I'd say, Your Honor, is is this person a danger to the community? We're not able to say right now that he would be a threat, an ongoing threat to Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2. But it's worth keeping in mind again that the Grand Jury returned an Indictment against the Defendant for child abuse alleging torture of a five-year-old little girl, one that was helpless and defenseless against most adults, but especially a person of the size and stature of Mr. Marquez. He's a danger to the community for having undertaken actions like that and for the fact that there is prior domestic violence history, even if not prosecuted. There are victims who will say that they were -- at least one victim who will say she was hit by the Defendant in a domestic context. And then

```
the child abuse in this case was ongoing for a month. And you'll recall that for instance he would have the child cover her face in public in order to cover the bruising that he caused her.
```

So we submit, Your Honor, that he is a danger to the community for his past acts, he is a flight risk for the fact that he avoided police consciously and intentionally for weeks while he knew that there was an arrest warrant in his name in his community.

THE COURT: And as I recall, you indicated that the SANE examination, it was observed that there was bruising, scarring, and bite marks on Jane Doe Number 2, right?

MR. FLORES: (Audio glitch), Your Honor.

THE COURT: What?

MR. FLORES: That's right, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, I am going to find,

Mr. Fooks, that by clear and convincing evidence that no

condition or combination of conditions of release will

reasonably assure the safety of any other person in the

community and also by a preponderance of the evidence that no

condition or combination of conditions of release will

reasonably assure the Defendant's appearance as required.

Of course, the allegations here with respect to the child abuse and the evidence is quite troubling. At least by the Government's proffer I would say that the evidence against

```
1
    Mr. Marquez, and of course I recognize that it's a bit lopsided
 2
    at this point, but the evidence against Mr. Marquez is strong
 3
    with respect to the child abuse offense. Not only the
    circumstances of the child's discovery, I suppose, I think
 4
 5
    lends support to the mother's alle -- I mean the mother's
    rendition of events, plus her own bruising, scarring, and bite
 6
 7
    marks and obviously the injuries that the child suffered all
    corroborate their way -- the facts as they state them, as well
    as the other things that were found during the execution of the
    search warrant that Mr. Flores mentioned.
10
11
              I also base my findings on the it looks like a bit of
12
    a pattern of domestic violence against various people. Not
    just Jane Doe Number 2, but other individuals that Mr. Marquez
13
14
    may come into contact with.
15
              I also find that the information the proffer provided
16
    to me regarding his trying to evade arrest in this particular
17
    case as well as his one prior failure to appear indicates that
18
    he is a flight risk.
19
              So for those reasons I will order that Mr. Marquez
20
    remain in custody.
21
              And of course, Mr. Marquez, this is not your -- it's
22
    not your last stop. If you want to appeal my decision, it's
23
    certainly your right to do so, and you may appeal if you
24
    desire.
```

All right, now, so with that I think we've covered

```
18
1
    everything we need to cover here today in Mr. Marquez's case.
2
              Do you have anything further, Mr. Fooks?
 3
              MR. FOOKS: I do not, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Flores, do you have
 4
5
    anything further?
 6
              MR. FLORES: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
7
              THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Marquez, you
 8
    are excused.
 9
              THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
          (This proceeding was adjourned at 1:35 p.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

sin / Julian

December 21, 2021

Signed

Dated

TONI HUDSON, TRANSCRIBER